arXiv:0903.1860v1 [math.RA] 10 Mar 2009

GRADED POLYNOMIAL IDENTITIES AND EXPONENTIAL GROWTH

ELI ALJADEFF, ANTONIO GIAMBRUNO, AND DANIELA LA MATTINA

Abstract. Let A be a finite dimensional algebra over a field of characteristic zero graded by a finite abelian group G. Here we study a growth function related to the graded polynomial identities satisfied by A by computing the exponential rate of growth of the sequence of graded codimensions of A. We prove that the G-exponent of A exists and is an integer related in an explicit way to the dimension of a suitable semisimple subalgebra of A.

1. Introduction

Let A be an associative algebra over a field F of characteristic zero graded by a finite abelian group G. Graded polynomials and graded polynomial identities are defined in a natural way and there is a well established literature on the subject (see for instance [1], [2], [3], [5], [7], [16]). Here we want to study a growth function related to the graded identities of A and compare it with the ordinary case. More precisely let $F\langle X, G\rangle$ be the free G-graded algebra on a countable set and $\mathrm{Id}^G(A)$ the ideal of G-graded identities of A. Then one considers the relatively free G-graded algebra $F\langle X, G\rangle/\mathrm{Id}^G(A)$ and denotes by $c_n^G(A)$ the dimension of the subspace of multilinear elements in n free generators. The sequence $c_n^G(A)$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots,$ is called the sequence of G-codimensions of A and is bounded from above by $|G|^n n!$ Nevertheless when A satisfies an ordinary (non graded) polynomial identity (in this case we say that A is a PI-algebra), one can compare $c_n^G(A)$ and $c_n(A)$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots,$ the ordinary codimension sequence of A and it turns out that $c_n^G(A) \leq |G|^n c_n(A)$ holds for all n ([13]).

Since in the presence of an ordinary identity the sequence of codimensions is exponentially bounded ([19]), it turns out that also $c_n^G(A)$ is exponentially bounded and our aim in this paper is to determine the exponential rate of growth of this sequence. Now, for the ordinary codimensions, in case A is a PI-algebra, in [14] and [15] it was proved that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{c_n(A)} = \exp(A)$ exists and is an integer called the PI-exponent of A. Here we shall prove that if A is a finite dimensional G-graded algebra,

$$\exp^G(A) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{c_n^G(A)}$$

exists and is an integer. Moreover $\exp^G(A)$ can be explicitly computed and it turns out to be equal to the dimension of a suitable semisimple G-graded subalgebra of A

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16R10, 16W50, 16P90.

Key words and phrases. graded algebra, polynomial identity, growth, codimensions.

The first author was supported by the ISRAEL SCIENCE FOUNDATION (grant No. 1283/08) and by the E. SCHAVER RESEARCH FUND. The second and third authors were partially supported by MIUR of Italy.

over an algebraically closed field. In case G is of order two, this result was proved in [6].

For non associative algebras satisfying a polynomial identity, the corresponding codimensions in general are not exponentially bounded. For Lie algebras an interesting scale of functions between exponential and factorial have been provided by Petrogradsky in [18]. Even when the codimensions are exponentially bounded, the exponential rate of growth can be non integer. In [12] the authors constructed for any real number $\alpha > 1$ an algebra whose exponential growth of the codimensions is equal to α. For Lie algebras in [23] it was shown that the PI-exponent of a finite dimensional Lie algebra exists and is an integer, but in [22] an example was given of a Lie algebra whose PI-exponent is not an integer.

The proof of the main result of the paper heavily relies on the representation theory of the symmetric group and on the computation of the asymptotics for the degrees of the irreducible S_n-representations, $n \geq 1$, associated to Young diagrams of bounded height. It is worth to point out that along the proof we construct a family of multialternating G-graded polynomials for a G-simple algebra which is of independent interest.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper F will denote a field of characteristic zero, G a finite abelian group and A a G-graded algebra over F. If $G = \{g_1 = 1, \ldots, g_s\}$, we write $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} A_{g_i}$, where $A_{g_i}A_{g_j} \subseteq A_{g_i g_j}$, for all $i, j = 1, \ldots, s$, and the A_{g_i}'s are the homogeneous components of A.

Let $F\langle X, G\rangle$ be the free associative G-graded algebra on a countable set X over F. Write X as

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} X_{g_i},$$

where the sets $X_{g_i} = \{x_{1,g_i}, x_{2,g_i}, \ldots\}$ are disjoint, and the elements of X_{g_i} have homogeneous degree g_i. In general, given a monomial $x_{i_1,g_{j_1}} \cdots x_{i_t,g_{j_t}}$ its homogeneous degree is $g_{j_1} \cdots g_{j_t}$. If we denote by $\mathcal{F}_{g_i}$ the subspace of $F\langle X, G\rangle$ spanned by all monomials in the variables of X having homogeneous degree g_i, then $F\langle X, G\rangle = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_{g_i}$ is the natural G-grading of $F\langle X, G\rangle$. In fact any graded map $X \to B$, where B is any G-graded algebra, can be extended to a homomorphism of graded algebras $F\langle X, G\rangle \to B$.

Recall that an element $f = f(x_{1,g_1}, \ldots, x_{t_1,g_1}, \ldots, x_{1,g_s}, \ldots, x_{t_s,g_s})$ of $F\langle X, G\rangle$ is called a graded polynomial, and we write $f \equiv 0$ in case f vanishes under all graded substitutions in A. Thus $f \equiv 0$ if $f(a_{1,g_1}, \ldots, a_{t_1,g_1}, \ldots, a_{1,g_s}, \ldots, a_{t_s,g_s}) = 0$, for all $a_{1,g_i}, \ldots, a_{t_i,g_i} \in A_{g_i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, s$.

We denote by $\mathrm{Id}^G(A) = \{f \in F\langle X, G\rangle \mid f \equiv 0 \text{ on } A\}$ the ideal of graded identities of A. It is easily checked that $\mathrm{Id}^G(A)$ is invariant under all graded endomorphisms of $F\langle X, G\rangle$. The object of our study will be $F\langle X, G\rangle/\mathrm{Id}^G(A)$, the free algebra of the variety of G-graded algebras generated by A. It is well known that in characteristic zero such algebra is determined by its multilinear components. Hence for $n \geq 1$ we denote by

$$P_n^G = \mathrm{span}_F\{x_{\sigma(1),g_{i_1}} \cdots x_{\sigma(n),g_{i_n}} \mid \sigma \in S_n, g_{i_1}, \ldots, g_{i_n} \in G\}$$

the space of multilinear G-graded polynomials in the variables $x_{1,g_{i_1}}, \dots, x_{n,g_{i_n}}$, where $g_{i_j} \in G$ and accordingly we set

$$P_n^G(A) = \frac{P_n^G}{P_n^G \cap \mathrm{Id}^G(A)}.$$

The non-negative integer

$$c_n^G(A) = \dim_F P_n^G(A),\ n \geq 1,$$

is called the n-th G-graded codimension of A and our aim here is to study the asymptotic behavior of the sequence $c_n^G(A),\ n = 1, 2, \dots$ and to compare it with the ordinary case.

Notice that if for $i \geq 1$ we set $x_i = x_{i,g_1} + \cdots + x_{i,g_s}$, then the free algebra on the countable set $Y = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ is naturally embedded in $F\langle X, G\rangle$ and we can regard the ordinary identities of A as a special kind of graded identities.

We denote by P_n the space of multilinear polynomials in the variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and by $\mathrm{Id}(A) = \{f \in F\langle Y\rangle \mid f \equiv 0 \text{ in } A\}$ the T-ideal of ordinary polynomial identities of A. Then $c_n(A) = \dim_F P_n/(P_n \cap \mathrm{Id}(A))$ is the n-th ordinary codimension of A.

It is well-known that for a general algebra A satisfying an ordinary polynomial identity (non necessarily finite dimensional), the sequence of codimensions is exponentially bounded ([19]).

The same conclusion about the G-codimensions cannot be drawn when A satisfies a G-graded polynomial identity, but not an ordinary identity. For instance, if $|Y| > 1$, the free algebra $A = F\langle Y\rangle$ with trivial G-grading satisfies the graded identities $x_g \equiv 0$ for $g \neq 1$, does not satisfy any ordinary identity and $c_n^G(A) = n!$. Nevertheless in case A satisfies an ordinary polynomial identity (e.g., is finite dimensional), the two sequences are related by the following inequalities ([13])

$$c_n(A) \leq c_n^G(A) \leq |G|^n c_n(A),\ n \geq 1. \tag{1}$$

Hence the sequence of G-codimensions is exponentially bounded and we can try to compute its exponential rate of growth. In [14] and [15] it was proved that if A is any algebra satisfying an ordinary polynomial identity, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{c_n(A)} = \exp(A)$ exists and is an integer called the PI-exponent of the algebra A.

At the light of (1), for a G-graded algebra satisfying an ordinary polynomial identity, one can ask if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{c_n^G(A)}$ exists and is an integer. Here we shall give a positive answer to the above question when A is a finite dimensional algebra (hence it satisfies an ordinary polynomial identity).

For fixed $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_s > 0$ let $P_{n_1,\dots,n_s} \subseteq P_n^G$ denote the subspace of multilinear graded polynomials in which the first n_1 variables are homogeneous of degree g_1, next n_2 variables are homogeneous of degree n_2 and so on. Also let

$$P_{n_1,\dots,n_s}(A) = \frac{P_{n_1,\dots,n_s}}{P_{n_1,\dots,n_s} \cap \mathrm{Id}^G(A)}$$

and

$$c_{n_1,\dots,n_k}(A) = \dim P_{n_1,\dots,n_s}(A).$$

By counting dimensions it follows that

$$c_n^G(A) = \sum_{n_1+\cdots+n_s=n} \binom{n}{n_1, \dots, n_s} c_{n_1,\dots,n_s}(A), \tag{2}$$

where $\binom{n}{n_1,\ldots,n_k} = \frac{n!}{n_1!\cdots n_s!}$ denotes the generalized binomial coefficient. We shall use (2) in order to deduce an upper and lower bound for $c_n^G(A)$ from an upper and lower bound for $c_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}(A)$.

Our methods will be based on the representation theory of the symmetric group S_n. Now, the space $P_{n_1,\ldots,n_s}$ is naturally endowed with a structure of $S_{n_1}\times\cdots\times S_{n_s}$-module in the following way: $S_{n_1}\times\cdots\times S_{n_s}$ acts on the left on $P_{n_1,\ldots,n_s}$ by permuting the variables of homogeneous degree $g_1,\ldots,g_s$, separately (S_{n_i} permutes the variables of degree g_i). Since $\mathrm{Id}^G(A)$ is invariant under this action, $P_{n_1,\ldots,n_s}(A)$ inherits a structure of $S_{n_1}\times\cdots\times S_{n_s}$-module and we denote by $\chi_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}(A)$ its character.

By complete reducibility we can write

$$\chi_{n_1,\ldots,n_s}(A) = \sum_{\langle\lambda\rangle\vdash n} m_{\langle\lambda\rangle}\chi_{\lambda(1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes\chi_{\lambda(s)}, \tag{3}$$

where $\langle\lambda\rangle = (\lambda(1),\ldots,\lambda(s)) \vdash (n_1,\ldots,n_s)$ is a multipartition of n, i.e, $\lambda(1)\vdash n_1,\ldots,\lambda(s)\vdash n_s$, $n_1+\cdots+n_s = n$, and $m_{\langle\lambda\rangle}\geq 0$ is the multiplicity of $\chi_{\lambda(1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes\chi_{\lambda(s)}$ in $\chi_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}(A)$. We call $\chi_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}(A)$ the $(n_1,\ldots,n_s)$-cocharacter of A. A basic fact that we shall need in what follows is that the multiplicities $m_{\langle\lambda\rangle}$ in (3) are polynomially bounded.

Remark 1. *There exist constants a, b such that for all $n\geq 1$, $m_{\langle\lambda\rangle}\leq an^b$ in (3).*

Proof. Since G is a finite abelian group, there is a well-known duality between G-gradings and G-actions by automorphisms on the associative algebra A. Let $\hat{G}$ be the group of linear characters of G. Then $\hat{G}\cong G$ and one defines an action of $\hat{G}$ on A as follows: if $a=\sum_{g\in G}a_g$ with $a_g\in A_g$ and $\psi\in\hat{G}$, then $\psi(a)=\sum_{g\in G}\psi(g)a_g$.

If we apply the above duality to the free G-graded algebra $F\langle X, G\rangle$, we may regard $F\langle X,G\rangle$ as the free algebra with $\hat{G}$-action $F\langle Y|\hat{G}\rangle$, on a countable set Y.

Recall that $F\langle Y|\hat{G}\rangle$ is freely generated by the set $\{y^\psi = \psi(y) | y\in Y, \psi\in\hat{G}\}$ on which $\hat{G}$ acts as follows: $f(y_1^{\psi_1},\ldots,y_n^{\psi_n})^\psi = f(y_1^{\psi\psi_1},\ldots,y_n^{\psi\psi_n})$. The elements of $F\langle Y|\hat{G}\rangle$ are called $\hat{G}$-polynomials and the ones vanishing in A are the $\hat{G}$-identities of A. Let $\mathrm{Id}(A|\hat{G})$ be the ideal of $\hat{G}$-identities of A. By [11, Proposition 2] $\mathrm{Id}(A|\hat{G}) = \mathrm{Id}^G(A)$. Moreover

$$P_n^G = \mathrm{span}\{y_{\sigma(1)}^{\psi_1}\cdots y_{\sigma(n)}^{\psi_n} \mid \sigma\in S_n, \psi_i\in\hat{G}\}.$$

Let $H=\hat{G}\wr S_n$ be the wreath product of $\hat{G}$ and S_n. The group H acts on the left on P_n^G by setting for $f(y_1,\ldots,y_n)\in P_n^G$ and $(\psi_1,\ldots,\psi_n,\sigma)\in H$, then

$$(\psi_1,\ldots,\psi_n,\sigma)f(y_1,\ldots,y_n) = f(y_{\sigma(1)}^{\psi_{\sigma(1)}^{-1}},\ldots,y_{\sigma(n)}^{\psi_{\sigma(n)}^{-1}}).$$

Hence the ideals of $\hat{G}$-identities of $F\langle Y|\hat{G}\rangle$ are invariant under the left H-action. This makes $P_n^G(A) = P_n^G/(P_n^G\cap\mathrm{Id}(A|\hat{G}))$ a left H-module.

Now the irreducible H-characters are indexed by multipartitions of n. For $\langle\lambda\rangle$ a multipartition of n, let $\chi_{\langle\lambda\rangle}$ be the corresponding irreducible H-character. The H-character of $P_n^G(A)$ is

$$\chi_n(A|G) = \sum_{\langle\lambda\rangle\vdash n} m'_{\langle\lambda\rangle}\chi_{\langle\lambda\rangle}. \tag{4}$$

The connection between the H-character of $P_n^G(A)$ given in (4) and the $S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_s}$-character $\chi_{n_1,\dots,n_s}(A)$ given in (3), is given in [11, Theorem 4]: for all multipartitions $\langle\lambda\rangle = (\lambda(1),\dots,\lambda(s))$ where $\lambda(1) \vdash m_1,\dots,\lambda(s) \vdash m_s$, we have $m_{\langle\lambda\rangle} = m'_{\langle\lambda\rangle}$. But then, since by [8] the multiplicities $m'_{\langle\lambda\rangle}$ in (4) are polynomially bounded, the proof follows. □

In what follows if $\lambda \vdash n$ is a partition of n we shall denote by $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1,\dots,\lambda'_r) \vdash n$ the conjugate partition of λ.

Remark 2. *Let $\langle\lambda\rangle = (\lambda(1),\dots,\lambda(s))$ be a multipartition of n and $N_{\langle\lambda\rangle} \not\subseteq Id^G(A)$ an irreducible $S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_s}$-module. Then $N_{\langle\lambda\rangle} = F(S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_s})f$ for some polynomial $f \in P_{n_1,\dots,n_s}$ such that for every $j \geq 1$, f is $(\lambda(1)'_j,\dots,\lambda(s)'_j)$-alternating, i.e., f is alternating into s disjoint sets of variables $X^j_{g_1},\dots,X^j_{g_s}$ of homogeneous degree $g_1,\dots,g_s$, respectively.*

Proof. We consider the decomposition of the group algebra $F(S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_s}) = \oplus_{\langle\lambda\rangle\vdash n} I_{\langle\lambda\rangle}$ where $I_{\langle\lambda\rangle}$ is the two-sided ideal associated to the multipartition $\langle\lambda\rangle$. Moreover every $I_{\langle\lambda\rangle}$ decomposes as

$$\sum_{T_{\lambda(1)},\dots,T_{\lambda(s)}} F(S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_s}) e_{T_{\lambda(1)}} \cdots e_{T_{\lambda(s)}}$$

where, for every i, $e_{T_{\lambda(i)}}$ is the essential idempotent of FS_{n_i} corresponding to the tableau $T_{\lambda(i)}$ of shape $\lambda(i)$. Recall that $e_{T_{\lambda(i)}} = \left(\sum_{\sigma\in R_{T_{\lambda(i)}}} \sigma\right)\left(\sum_{\tau\in C_{T_{\lambda(i)}}} (\operatorname{sgn}\tau)\tau\right)$, where $R_{T_{\lambda(i)}}$ and $C_{T_{\lambda(i)}}$ are the subgroups of S_{n_i} stabilizing the rows and the columns of $T_{\lambda(i)}$, respectively.

Since $I_{\langle\lambda\rangle} N_{\langle\lambda\rangle} = N_{\langle\lambda\rangle} \not\subseteq \mathrm{Id}^G(A)$, there exist tableaux $T_{\lambda(1)},\dots,T_{\lambda(s)}$ and $f \in N_{\langle\lambda\rangle}$ such that $F(S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_s}) e_{T_{\lambda(1)}} \cdots e_{T_{\lambda(s)}} f = N_{\langle\lambda\rangle}$. If we set

$$r_i = \sum_{\tau\in C_{T_{\lambda(i)}}} (\operatorname{sgn}\tau)\tau,$$

$1 \leq i \leq s$ then $r_1 e_{T_{\lambda(1)}} \cdots r_s e_{T_{\lambda(s)}} f$ is the required polynomial.

□

3. The general setting

Throughout this and the next sections A will be a finite dimensional G-graded algebra over a field F of characteristic zero, where G is a finite abelian group. By the Wedderburn-Malcev theorem ([16]), we can write

$$A = B + J$$

where B is a maximal semisimple subalgebra of A and $J = J(A)$ is its Jacobson radical. It is well-known that J is a graded ideal, moreover by [] we assume, as we may, that B is a G-graded subalgebra of A. Hence we can write

$$B = B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_m$$

where $B_1,\dots,B_m$ are G-graded simple algebras. We remark that the G-codimensions of A do not change upon extension of the base field (see [16]). Hence, in order to compute the exponential rate of growth of the G-codimensions of A we assume that the field F is algebraically closed. We start by making a definition

Definition 3. *The G-dimension of A is* $G\text{-}\dim A = (p_1, \ldots, p_s)$, *where* $p_i = \dim A_{g_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq s = |G|$.

We say that a semisimple algebra $C = C_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus C_k$, where $C_1, \ldots, C_k \in \{B_1, \ldots, B_m\}$ are distinct, is admissible if $C_1 J C_2 J \cdots C_{k-1} J C_k \neq 0$. We then define

$$d(A) = \max\ (\dim C) \tag{5}$$

where C runs over all admissible subalgebras of B.

In Theorem 21 below we shall prove that $d(A)$ coincides with $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{c_n^G(A)}$.

Next we introduce multialternating polynomials that will be essential tools throughout the paper.

Let $t > 0$ be an integer and, for $i = 1, \ldots s$, define

$$X_{g_i}^j = \{x_{1,g_i}^j, \ldots, x_{m_i,g_i}^j\} \subseteq X_{g_i},\ j = 1, \ldots, t,$$

t distinct sets of $m_i \geq 0$ variables of homogeneous degree g_i. Let also $Y \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^s X_{g_i}$ be another set of homogeneous variables disjoint from the previous sets.

Also, let $f = f(X_{g_1}^1, \ldots, X_{g_s}^1, \ldots, X_{g_1}^t, \ldots, X_{g_s}^t, Y) \in F\langle X, G\rangle$ be a multilinear graded polynomial in the variables from the sets $X_{g_i}^j$ and Y, $i = 1, \ldots, s$ and $j = 1, \ldots, t$.

Definition 4. *If f is alternating in the indeterminates of each set $X_{g_i}^j$, then we say f t-fold $(m_1, \ldots, m_s)$-alternating. In case $t = 1$ we simply say that f is $(m_1, \ldots, m_s)$-alternating.*

Throughout we make also the convention that $\bar{x}$ is an evaluation of the variable x in A. Accordingly, $\bar{X}_{g_j}^i$ stands for an evaluation of the set $X_{g_j}^i$ into A_{g_j}.

Lemma 5. *Let $d = d(A)$ be the integer defined above and let*

$$f = f(X_{g_1}^1, \ldots, X_{g_s}^1, \ldots, X_{g_1}^t, \ldots, X_{g_s}^t, Y)$$

be a polynomial t-fold $(m_1, \ldots, m_s)$-alternating. If $m_1 + \cdots + m_s > d$ then

$$f(\bar{X}_{g_1}^1, \ldots, \bar{X}_{g_s}^1, \ldots, \bar{X}_{g_1}^t, \ldots, \bar{X}_{g_s}^t, \bar{Y}) = 0$$

for all evaluations $\bar{X}_{g_i}^j$ in B_{g_i} and $\bar{Y}$ in A.

Proof. Being f multilinear it is clearly enough to evaluate f into elements of a basis of A. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{J}$ be a homogeneous basis of A where $\mathcal{B} = \cup_{i=1}^s \mathcal{B}_{g_i}$ is a basis of B and $\mathcal{J} = \cup_{i=1}^s \mathcal{J}_{g_i}$ is a basis of J. Hence for $i = 1, \ldots, s$, $\mathcal{B}_{g_i}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{g_i}$ are bases of B_{g_i} and J_{g_i}, respectively.

Suppose f does not vanish in A. Then, if we evaluate f on B, since $B_i B_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$, in order to get a non-zero value we have to evaluate f only on a G-simple component, say B_r. Since $\dim B_r \leq d$ and $m_1 + \cdots + m_s > d$ there exists $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that $m_j > \dim(B_r)_{g_j}$. Hence f vanishes on a basis of B_r, being alternating on m_j elements of homogeneous degree g_j.

Therefore, in order to get a non-zero value of f we should evaluate at least one variable in J. Moreover we may assume that for every $i = 1, \ldots, s$, $\dim B_{g_i} \geq m_i$. But then the non-zero monomials of f evaluate into $B_{i_1} J B_{i_2} J \cdots J B_{i_l}$ or $J B_{i_1} J B_{i_2} J \cdots J B_{i_l}$, or $B_{i_1} J B_{i_2} J \cdots J B_{i_l} J$ or $J B_{i_1} J B_{i_2} J \cdots J B_{i_l} J$, where $B_{i_1}, \ldots, B_{i_l} \in \{B_1, \ldots, B_m\}$ are not necessarily distinct G-simple components. Since $\dim(B_{i_1} + \cdots + B_{i_l}) \geq m_1 + \cdots + m_s > d$ we get that $B_{i_1} J B_{i_2} J \cdots J B_{i_l} = 0$ and we reach a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 6. *Let* $\langle\lambda\rangle = (\lambda(1), \dots, \lambda(s)) \vdash (n_1, \dots, n_s)$ *be a multipartition of* n *and let* $N_{\langle\lambda\rangle}$ *be an irreducible* $S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_s}$*-module participating with non-zero multiplicity in* $P_{n_1,\dots,n_s}(A)$*. Then*

1) $\lambda(i)'_1 \leq \dim A_{g_i},\ 1 \leq i \leq s.$
2) $\lambda(1)'_{l+1} + \cdots + \lambda(s)'_{l+1} \leq d = d(A),$ *where* $J^{l+1} = 0$,
3) $\dim N_{\langle\lambda\rangle} \leq n^a (\lambda(1)'_{l+1})^{n_1} \cdots (\lambda(s)'_{l+1})^{n_s}$*, for some* $a \geq 1$.

Proof. By Remark 2, $N_{\langle\lambda\rangle} = F(S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_s})f$ where $f \in P_{n_1,\dots,n_s}$ is not an identity of A and for $j \geq 1$, f is $(\lambda(1)'_j, \dots, \lambda(s)'_j)$-alternating, i.e., f is alternating into s disjoint sets of variables $X^j_{g_1}, \dots, X^j_{g_s}$ of homogeneous degree $g_1, \dots, g_s$, respectively. Since f is not an identity for A, we must have $\lambda(k)'_j \leq \dim A_{g_k}$, for all $k = 1, \dots, s$ and $j \geq 1$. This proves the first part of the lemma.

Suppose by contradiction that $\lambda(1)'_{l+1} + \cdots + \lambda(s)'_{l+1} > d$. In particular, this says that $\lambda(1)'_j + \cdots + \lambda(s)'_j > d$ for $j = 1, \dots, l+1$. Since by hypothesis f is not an identity of A, and f is $(\lambda(1)'_j, \dots, \lambda(s)'_j)$-alternating, by the previous lemma, in order to get a non-zero value, for $j = 1, \dots, l+1$, at least one variable in the sets

$$X^j_{g_1}, \dots, X^j_{g_s}$$

must be evaluated in J. But $J^{l+1} = 0$ says that f vanishes on A, a contradiction.

Let $\dim A_{g_1} = p_1, \dots, \dim A_{g_s} = p_s$. From the hook formula giving the degree of an irreducible S_n-character (see [17]), we get that for $j = 1, \dots, s$,

$$\deg \chi_{\lambda(j)} \leq n^{p_j l} (\lambda(j)'_{l+1})^{n_j}.$$

Hence

$$\dim N_{\langle\lambda\rangle} = \deg \chi_{\lambda(1)} \cdots \deg \chi_{\lambda(s)} \leq n^a (\lambda(1)'_{l+1})^{n_1} \cdots (\lambda(s)'_{l+1})^{n_s},$$

and we are done. □

We are now in a position to determine a useful upper bound for $c^G_n(A)$.

Lemma 7. *There exist constants* a, t *such that* $c^G_n(A) \leq a n^t d^n$.

Proof. By part 2) of the previous lemma we can write

$$\chi_{n_1,\dots,n_k}(A) = \sum_{\substack{\langle\lambda\rangle \vdash n \\ 0 \leq \lambda(1)'_{l+1} + \cdots + \lambda(s)'_{l+1} \leq d}} m_{\langle\lambda\rangle} (\chi_{\lambda(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_{\lambda(s)}).$$

Hence by part 3) of the previous lemma,

$$c_{n_1,\dots,n_k}(A) \leq \sum_{\substack{\langle\lambda\rangle \vdash n \\ 0 \leq \lambda(1)'_{l+1} + \cdots + \lambda(s)'_{l+1} \leq d}} m_{\langle\lambda\rangle} n^\alpha (\lambda(1)'_{l+1})^{n_1} \cdots (\lambda(s)'_{l+1})^{n_s},$$

for some constant α. By Remark 1 the multiplicities $m_{\langle\lambda\rangle}$ are polynomially bounded; hence by (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c^G_n(A) &= \sum_{n_1 + \cdots + n_k = n} \binom{n}{n_1, \dots, n_k} c_{n_1,\dots,n_k}(A) \\ &\leq a n^b \sum_{0 \leq t_1 + \cdots + t_s \leq d} \sum_{n_1 + \cdots + n_k = n} \binom{n}{n_1, \dots, n_k} t_1^{n_1} \cdots t_s^{n_s} \\ &= a n^b \sum_{0 \leq t_1 + \cdots + t_s \leq d} (t_1 + \cdots + t_s)^n \leq a n^b d^{n+s}. \end{aligned}$$

□

4. G-SIMPLE ALGEBRAS AND MULTIALTERNATING POLYNOMIALS

Throughout this section $A = \oplus_{g \in G} A_g$ will be a G-simple algebra over an algebraically closed field F where G is a finite abelian group. Our aim is to construct a t-fold $(p_1, \ldots, p_s)$-alternating polynomial for every even integer $t > 0$, where $(p_1, \ldots, p_s) = G\text{-}\dim A$.

The construction relies on a structure theorem proved by Bahturin, Seghal and Zaicev in which they fully describe the G-grading on a G-simple algebra in terms of fine and elementary gradings. It should be mentioned that their result holds for arbitrary groups (and not only for finite abelian groups).

Theorem 8 ([4])**.** *Let A be a G-simple algebra. Then there exists a subgroup H of G, a 2-cocycle $f : H \times H \longrightarrow F^*$ where the action of H on F is trivial, an integer k and a k-tuple $(g_1 = 1, g_2, \ldots, g_k) \in G^k$ such that A is G-graded isomorphic to $C = F^f H \otimes M_k(F)$ where $C_g = span_F\{b_h \otimes E_{i,j} : g = g_i^{-1} h g_j\}$. Here $b_h \in F^f H$ is a representative of $h \in H$ and $E_{i,j} \in M_k(F)$ is the (i, j) elementary matrix.*

We start with an analysis of a twisted group algebra $F^f H$ where H is a finite abelian group. This is well known but we include it here for the reader convenience.

Let H be a finite abelian group and $f : H \times H \longrightarrow F^*$ a 2-cocycle. Consider the function $\alpha = \alpha_f : H \times H \longrightarrow F^*$ defined by $\alpha(h_1, h_2) = f(h_1, h_2) f^{-1}(h_2, h_1)$. It follows that if $\{u_h\}_{h \in H}$ is a set of representatives in $F^f H$ of the elements of H then $u_{h_1} u_{h_2} = \alpha(h_1, h_2) u_{h_2} u_{h_1}$ (roughly speaking the function α is determined by the commuting relations of representatives of elements of H in $F^f H$). The following facts follow directly from the definitions:

1. the function α is determined by the commuting relations of representatives in $F^f H$ of generators of H.
2. if $f^{'}$ is a 2-cocycle cohomologous to f (that is there exists a function $\lambda : H \longrightarrow F^*$ such that for every h_1, h_2 in H, $f^{'}(h_1, h_2) = \lambda_{h_1} \lambda_{h_2} \lambda^{-1}_{h_1 h_2} f(h_1, h_2)$) then $\alpha_f = \alpha_{f'}$.

It follows that the correspondence $f \longrightarrow \alpha_f$ induces a homomorphism from $H^2(H, F^*)$ to $Hom(\bigwedge^2 H, F^*)$. Here, $\bigwedge^2 H$ denotes the Schur multiplier of the group H and is isomorphic to $(H \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H)/W$ where W is the subgroup generated by all $\{h \otimes h \mid h \in H\}$.

Proposition 9. *The map α is an isomorphism.*

Proof. See [9, Chapter V]. □

It follows that if $H \cong C_{n_1} \times \cdots \times C_{n_q} = \langle h_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle h_q \rangle$ is a decomposition of H into cyclic groups of order $n_1, \ldots, n_q$, respectively, a cohomology class in $H^2(H, F^*)$ is determined by the value of α on pairs of generators (h_i, h_j) for $1 \leq i < j \leq q$. In order to determine a cohomology class one may choose for each $1 \leq i < j \leq q$ an arbitrary root of unity whose order divides the $g.c.d(n_i, n_j)$. Furthermore, different choices determine different cohomology classes. We have therefore that the cohomology classes are in $1-1$ correspondence with $\binom{q}{2}$-tuples of roots of unity $\alpha(h_i, h_j)$ that satisfy the condition $|\alpha(h_i, h_j)|$ divides $g.c.d(n_i, n_j)$. In the sequel we will use freely the correspondence $f \longrightarrow \alpha_f$.

Given a cohomology class α on an abelian group H it is convenient to attach to it a specific representative 2-cocycle f. This will permit us to be precise when multiplying elements in a twisted group algebra. Consider the decomposition above of H into cyclic subgroups and let $h = h_1^{r_1}h_2^{r_2}\cdot\cdots\cdot h_q^{r_q}$ and $h^{'} = h_1^{s_1}h_2^{s_2}\cdot\cdots\cdot h_q^{s_q}$ be arbitrary elements. Set $f_\alpha(h,h^{'}) = f_\alpha(h_1^{r_1}h_2^{r_2}\cdot\cdots\cdot h_q^{r_q}, h_1^{s_1}h_2^{s_2}\cdot\cdots\cdot h_q^{s_q}) = \alpha(h_2,h_1)^{r_2s_1}\alpha(h_3,h_1)^{r_3s_1}\cdot\cdots\cdot\alpha(h_q,h_1)^{r_qs_1}\alpha(h_3,h_2)^{r_3s_2}\alpha(h_4,h_2)^{r_4s_2}\cdot\cdots\cdot\alpha(h_q,h_2)^{r_qs_2}\cdot\cdots\cdot\alpha(h_q,h_{(q-1)})^{r_qs_{(q-1)}}$ (each exchange of "variables" (h_i,h_j) yields a factor $\alpha(h_j,h_i)$). We remark that unlike the function α, the values of the cocycle f_α depend on the choice of generators of H and even on their order.

Let H be as above and let F^fH be a twisted group algebra. Let $\alpha = \alpha_f$ and let f_α be the canonical 2-cocycle that corresponds to α (with respect to the given ordered set of generators). We assume as we may that $f = f_\alpha$. Consider the subgroup N of H consisting of all elements $n \in H$ such that $\alpha(n,h) = 1$ for every $h \in H$. By the above correspondences the restriction of f to N is trivial. In fact since the value of $f(nh_i, n^{'}h_j)$, $n, n^{'} \in N$ is independent of $n, n^{'}$, we have that f is inflated from a 2-cocycle $\bar{f} : H/N \times H/N \longrightarrow F^*$. This means that $f(h,h^{'}) = \bar{f}(\bar{h},\bar{h}^{'})$ where $h, h^{'}$ are arbitrary elements in H and $\bar{h},\bar{h}^{'}$ are the corresponding images in H/N.

With the above notation we have

Lemma 10. *The twisted group algebra $F^{\bar{f}}H/N$ is isomorphic to the matrix algebra $M_r(F)$ where $r^2 = |H/N|$.*

Proof. Since $F^{\bar{f}}H/N$ is semisimple the result will follow if we show that the center is 1-dimensional. To see this note that by the definition of N, a representative $u_{\bar{h}}$ in $F^{\bar{f}}H/N$ for an element $\bar{h} \neq 1$ in H/N cannot be central. Using the fact that H/N is abelian it follows that $z = \sum_{\bar{h}\in H/N}\lambda_{\bar{h}}u_{\bar{h}}$ is central if and only if $z = \lambda_{\bar{1}}u_{\bar{1}}$. $\square$

Definition 11. *Let H be a finite group and f a 2-cocycle with coefficients in F^* (F is algebraically closed). We say that f is non degenerate if the corresponding twisted group algebra is isomorphic to a a full matrix algebra. A group H admitting a non-degenerate 2-cocycle (or non-degenerate cohomology class $[f]$) is said to be of central type.*

Remark 12. *Clearly, the abelian group H/N above is of central type and the 2-cocycle $\bar{f}$ is non-degenerate.*

Corollary 13. *Let $\{h_i\}$ be a transversal of N in H. Let $\{u_h\}$ be representatives of H in the twisted group algebra F^fH and $\{v_{\bar{h_i}}\}$ be representatives of elements of H/N in $F^{\bar{f}}H/N$. Let $h = nh_i$. Then the map $u_h \longmapsto n \otimes v_{\bar{h_i}}$ induces an isomorphism of F^fH onto $FN \otimes F^{\bar{f}}H/N$. In particular $F^fH \cong M_r(F) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_r(F)$ ($m = |N|$ copies).*

Proof. This is now clear. $\square$

Combining this with the structure Theorem 8 we have:

Corollary 14. *(see also [4]) Let A be G-simple where G is a finite abelian group. Then $A \cong M_k(M_r(F)) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_k(M_r(F)) \cong M_{kr}(F) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{kr}(F)$ (m-copies).*

The following proposition is key for the construction of the required polynomial.

Proposition 15. *Let $A \cong F^fH \otimes M_k(F)$ be G-simple and let $\Omega = \{b_h \otimes E_{i,j}\}_{1\leq i,j\leq k, h\in H}$ be a basis of A (its order is mr^2k^2). Then the set Ω can be decomposed into m*

disjoint sets $\Omega(1), \ldots, \Omega(m)$, *each consisting of* r^2k^2 *elements with the following properties:*

1. *For each* g *in* G *the basis elements* $b_h \otimes E_{i,j}$ *in* A_g *(i.e.* $g_i^{-1}hg_j = hg_i^{-1}g_j = g$*) are contained in one and only one set* $\Omega(p), p = 1, \ldots, m$.
2. *Consider the decomposition* $A \cong M_{kr}(F) \oplus \cdots \oplus (M_{kr}(F)$ *(m-copies). Then for every* $p = 1, \ldots, m$ *the projection of* $\Omega(p)$ *into each component is a basis of* $M_{kr}(F)$.

Proof. Let $\{h_1^p, \ldots, h_{r^2}^p\}$, $p = 1, \ldots, m$ be m sets of transversals of N in H, pairwise disjoint (i.e. their union consists of all elements of H). Let $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_t\}$ be a transversal of H in G. Multiplying the transversal for H in G with the different transversals of N in H we obtain m pairwise disjoint transversals of N in G of the form $\{h_1^p\sigma_1, h_2^p\sigma_1, \ldots, h_{r^2}^p\sigma_1, h_1^p\sigma_2, \ldots, h_{r^2}^p\sigma_2, \ldots, h_{r^2}^p\sigma_t\}$, $p = 1, \ldots, m$. Denote these transversals (of N in G) by $T_1, \ldots, T_m$. Note that the union of the T_i's is all of G.

The main step in the construction is:

Definition 16. *For every* $p = 1, \ldots, m$ *we let* $\Omega(p)$ *be the set of all basis elements* $b_h \otimes E_{i,j}$ *in* A *such that* $hg_i^{-1}g_j = h_m^p\sigma_d$ *for some* $m \in \{1, \ldots, r^2\}$ *and* $d \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$.

Note that condition 1 of Proposition 15 follows at once from the definition. In order to prove condition 2 we need the following proposition.

Proposition 17. *Consider the set of triples* (h, i, j) *that appear in basis elements of* $\Omega(p)$*. Then the following holds:*

1. *Every pair* (i, j) *where* $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ *appears in* $\Omega(p)$ *precisely* r^2 *times. In particular the order of* $\Omega(p)$ *is* r^2k^2.
2. *For each* (i, j) *the set of elements* h *that appear in some* $b_h \otimes E_{i,j} \in \Omega(p)$ *is a complete transversal of* N *in* H.

Proof. Assume the pair (i, j) appears in some element in $\Omega(p)$, say $b_h \otimes E_{i,j}$. This means that $hg_i^{-1}g_j = h_l^p\sigma_d$ for some $l \in \{1, \ldots, r^2\}$ and $d \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$. Then for any $v \in \{1, \ldots, r^2\}$ we have $h_v^p(h_l^p)^{-1}hg_i^{-1}g_j = h_v^p\sigma_d$. Now, since the set $\{h_v^p \mid 1 \leq v \leq r^2\}$, is a transversal of N in H, the set $\{h_v^p(h_m^p)^{-1}h \mid v = 1, \ldots, r^2\}$ is a transversal as well. To show that any pair (i, j) appears in $\Omega(p)$ write $g_i^{-1}g_j = nh_v^p\sigma_d$ where $n \in N$ and $h_v^p\sigma_d$ is a transversal element in T_p. Multiplying by n^{-1} the result follows. In order to complete the proof we need to show that for any (i, j) if $b_h \otimes E_{i,j}$ and $b_h^{'} \otimes E_{i,j}$ are different elements that belong to $\Omega(p)$ then h and $h^{'}$ are not congruent modulo N. But this is clear for otherwise we would have in T_p two different elements $hg_i^{-1}g_j$ and $h^{'}g_i^{-1}g_j$ which are congruent modulo N and this contradicts the definition of T_p. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Thus the set $\Omega(p)$ consists of the elements $b_h \otimes E_{i,j}$ where $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ and for each (i, j) the set of h's is a transversal of N in H (which depends on the pair (i, j)).

Now, we are ready to show that the sets $\Omega(p)$, $p = 1, \ldots, m$, satisfy condition 2 of Proposition 15. By Theorem 8 and Corollary13 we have

$$A \cong F^fH \otimes M_k(F) \cong FN \otimes F^{\bar{f}}H/N \otimes M_k(F)$$

$$\cong M_{kr}(F) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{kr}(F) \quad (m\text{-copies}).$$

Clearly, the projections onto the different components are induced by multiplying with a primitive idempotent e_l ($l = 1, \ldots, m$) of FN (which is clearly central in A). Since the set of h's appearing in $b_h \otimes E_{i,j} \in \Omega(p)$ for a given (i, j) is a transversal of N in H, it follows that $\Omega(p)$ is a basis of $F^{\bar{f}}H/N \otimes M_k(F) \cong M_{kr}(F)$ as a free module over its center FN. If we multiply with a primitive idempotent e_l of FN it is clear that the set $\Omega(p)e_l$ is a basis of $FNe_l \otimes F^{\bar{f}}H/N \otimes M_k(F) \cong M_{kr}(F)$. This completes the proof of Proposition15. □

By mean of the previous propositions we shall now show how to construct, for every $t \geq 1$, a $2t$-fold $(p_1, \ldots, p_s)$-alternating polynomial, where $(p_1, \ldots, p_s)$ is the G-dimension of A, which is not an identity of A and takes a central invertible value in A. Let $\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_{k^2r^2}, y_1, \ldots, y_{k^2r^2})$ be a Regev polynomial for $M_{kr}(F)$. Recall that

$$\varphi = \sum_{\sigma,\tau \in S_{k^2r^2}} (\operatorname{sgn} \sigma\tau) x_{\sigma(1)} y_{\tau(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} x_{\sigma(3)} x_{\sigma(4)} y_{\tau(2)} y_{\tau(3)} y_{\tau(4)}$$

$$\cdots x_{\sigma(k^2r^2-2kr+2)} \cdots x_{\sigma(k^2r^2)} y_{\tau(k^2r^2-2kr+2)} \cdots y_{\tau(k^2r^2)},$$

and by [10], φ is a central polynomial but not a polynomial identity for $M_{kr}(F)$. Moreover φ is alternating in the x's and the y's respectively. In particular its evaluation on a basis of $M_{kr}(F)$ gives a central non-zero element.

Now, take $\Omega(p)$ and consider $\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_{k^2r^2}, y_1, \ldots, y_{k^2r^2})$ as a G-graded polynomial by assigning its variables the homogeneous degrees of the elements of $\Omega(p)$. Rename this polynomial φ_p. By applying Proposition 15 we see that evaluating the G-graded polynomial with elements of $\Omega(p)$ we obtain a central and invertible value in $M_{kr}(F) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{kr}(F)$. Consider now the product of m G-graded Regev polynomials, one for each set $\Omega(p)$. It follows that:

1. the product $\varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_m$ is a G-graded polynomial in $2mk^2r^2$ variables;
2. All x's and all y's with the same homogeneous degree belong to the same factor and hence they are alternating;
3. The evaluation of $\varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_m$ on a basis of A is a central and invertible element of A;
4. Multiplying t-copies of $\varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_m$ we obtain a polynomial of degree $2t(\dim A)$ which is $2t$-fold $(p_1, \ldots, p_s)$-alternating.

We have proved the following

Lemma 18. *Let G be a finite abelian group and $A = \oplus_{g \in G} A_g$ a finite dimensional G-graded simple algebra over an algebraically closed field F. Let G-$\dim A = (p_1, \ldots, p_s)$. Then, for all $t \geq 1$ there exists a $2t$-fold $(p_1, \ldots, p_s)$-alternating polynomial*

$$\varphi(X^1_{g_1}, \ldots, X^1_{g_s}, \ldots, X^t_{g_1}, \ldots, X^t_{g_s})$$

which is not an identity for A and takes an invertible central value in A.

5. COMPUTING THE EXPONENTIAL GROWTH OF THE G-CODIMENSIONS

We recall our general setting: $A = \oplus_{g \in G} A_g$ is a finite dimensional G-graded algebra over an algebraically closed field F and $G = \{g_1 = 1, \ldots, g_s\}$ is an abelian group. Also $A = B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_m + J$ where the B_i's are G-simple graded algebras and $d = d(A)$ is the integer defined in (5).

Given a polynomial $f = f(X_1, y_1, \ldots, y_r)$ which is multilinear on the set $X_1 = \{x_1, \ldots, x_t\}$ we define the operator of alternation $\mathcal{A}_{X_1}$ as

$$\mathcal{A}_{X_1}(f) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_t} (\operatorname{sgn} \sigma) f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(t)}, y_1, \ldots, y_r).$$

Notice that the new polynomial $\mathcal{A}_{X_1}(f)$ is alternating on X_1.

Lemma 19. *Let $C = C_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus C_k$ be a semisimple G-graded subalgebra of A, where $C_1, \ldots, C_k \in \{B_1, \ldots, B_m\}$ are distinct, and $C_1JC_2J \cdots JC_k \neq 0$. Let also G-$\dim C = (q_1, \ldots, q_s)$. Then for all $t \geq 1$, there exists a $2t$-fold $(q_1, \ldots, q_s)$-alternating polynomial*

$$\varphi(X^1_{g_1}, \ldots, X^1_{g_s}, \ldots, X^{2t}_{g_1}, \ldots, X^{2t}_{g_s}, Y),$$

where $|Y| = 2k - 1$, not vanishing on A.

Proof. For $i = 1, \ldots, k$, let G-$\dim C_i = (q^i_1, \ldots, q^i_s)$. Let also φ_i be the $2t$-fold $(q^i_1, \ldots, q^i_s)$-alternating polynomial in the sets $\{X^1_{i,g_1}, \ldots, X^1_{i,g_s}, \ldots, X^{2t}_{i,g_1}, \ldots, X^{2t}_{i,g_s}\}$ constructed in Lemma 18.

Define

$$\varphi = y_1 \varphi_1 z_1 y_2 \varphi_2 z_2 \cdots \varphi_{k-1} z_{k-1} y_k \varphi_k$$

and, for $l = 1, \ldots, 2t$, set $X^l_{g_j} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k X^l_{i,g_j}$. Clearly $|X^l_{g_j}| = \sum_{i=1}^k q^i_j = q_j$.

Let

$$\varphi' = \mathcal{A}_{X^1_{g_1}} \cdots \mathcal{A}_{X^{2t}_{g_1}} \cdots \mathcal{A}_{X^1_{g_s}} \cdots \mathcal{A}_{X^{2t}_{g_s}} \varphi.$$

Since $C_1JC_2J \cdots C_{k-1}JC_k \neq 0$ there exist $c_i \in C_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $b_1, \ldots, b_{k-1} \in J$ such that $c_1b_1c_2b_2 \cdots b_{k-1}c_k \neq 0$. Since by Lemma 18 each φ_i takes a central invertible value in C_i, we may assume that such value is $\bar{\varphi} = 1_{C_i}$. Hence, by also setting $\bar{y}_i = c_i$, $\bar{z}_i = b_i$ we get the following non-zero evaluation of φ'

$$\bar{\varphi}' = (q^1_1! q^2_1! \cdots q^k_1! \cdots, q^1_s! q^2_s! \cdots q^k_s!)^{2t} c_1b_1c_2b_2 \cdots b_{k-1}c_k \neq 0.$$

If k_i is the number of elements in $\{c_1, b_1, c_2, b_2, \ldots, b_{k-1}, c_k\}$ of homogeneous degree g_i then

$$\varphi' = \varphi'(X^1_{g_1}, \ldots, X^1_{g_s}, \ldots, X^{2t}_{g_1}, \ldots, X^{2t}_{g_s}, y_{1,g_1}, \ldots, y_{k_1,g_1}, \ldots, y_{1,g_s}, \ldots, y_{k_s,g_s}),$$

with $k_1 + \ldots + k_s = 2k - 1$, is the required G-graded polynomial not vanishing on A. □

We can now compute the lower bound of the G-codimensions.

Lemma 20. *For the finite dimensional G-graded algebra A we have $c^G_n(A) \geq an^bd^n$, for some constants $a > 0$ and b.*

Proof. Let $C_1, \ldots, C_k$ be distinct G-graded simple subalgebras of B such that

$$C_1JC_2J \cdots C_{k-1}JC_k \neq 0$$

and $\dim(C_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus C_k) = d = d(A)$. If $p_i = \dim((C_1)_{g_i} + \cdots + \dim(C_k)_{g_i}), i = 1, \ldots, s$, then $d = p_1 + \cdots + p_s$.

Also, for every even $t \geq 2$, let $\varphi_t(X^1_{g_1}, \ldots, X^1_{g_s}, \ldots, X^t_{g_1}, \ldots, X^t_{g_s}, Y)$ be the polynomial constructed in the previous lemma where

$$Y = \{y_{1,g_1}, \ldots, y_{k_1,g_1}, \ldots, y_{1,g_s}, \ldots, y_{k_s,g_s}\}.$$

Now take any $n \geq 2d + (k_1 + \cdots + k_s)$, and divide $n - (k_1 + \cdots + k_s)$ by $2d$; then we write

$$n = 2m(p_1 + \cdots + p_s) + (k_1 + \cdots + k_s) + t,$$

for some m, t, where $0 \leq t < 2d$.

Set $n_1 = 2mp_1 + k_1 + t$ and $n_i = 2mp_i + k_i$, $2 \leq i \leq s$. If $\varphi = \varphi_{2m}$ is the above polynomial, then $\deg \varphi = 2m(p_1 + \cdots + p_s) + k_1 + \cdots + k_s$, and set

$$\varphi' = \varphi y_{k_1+1,g_1} \cdots y_{k_1+t,g_1}.$$

Clearly $\varphi' \in P_{n_1,\dots,n_s}$, where $n_1 + \cdots + n_s = n$, and φ' does not vanish on A.

We let the group $H = S_{2mp_1} \times \cdots \times S_{2mp_s}$ act on $P_{n_1,\dots,n_s}$ by letting S_{2mp_i} act on $X^1_{g_i} \cup \cdots \cup X^{2m}_{g_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Let $M = FH\varphi'$ be the H-submodule of $P_{n_1,\dots,n_s}$ generated by φ'. By complete reducibility M splits into the sum of irreducible H-modules. Hence, since $M \not\subseteq \mathrm{Id}^G(A)$, there exists an irreducible submodule $M' \not\subseteq \mathrm{Id}^G(A)$ with $M' = W_{\langle\lambda\rangle} = FHe_{T_{\lambda(1)}} \cdots e_{T_{\lambda(s)}}\varphi'$ where for $i = 1, \dots, s$, $T_{\lambda(i)}$ is a Young tableau of shape $\lambda(i) \vdash 2mp_i$ and $\langle\lambda\rangle = (\lambda(1), \dots, \lambda(s))$.

Recall that for every i, $\lambda(i)_1$ is the length of the first row of $\lambda(i)$. Now, for every $\tau \in S_{2mp_i}$, $\tau(\varphi')$ is still alternating on $2m$ disjoint sets of variables of degree g_i, each of these ones containing p_i elements and $\sum_{\sigma \in R_{T_{\lambda(i)}}} \sigma$ acts by symmetrizing $\lambda(i)_1$ variables. Thus, if $\lambda(i)_1 > 2m$, there would exist at least two variables on which

$$\Big(\sum_{\sigma \in R_{T_{\lambda(i)}}} \sigma\Big)\Big(\sum_{\tau \in C_{T_{\lambda(i)}}} (\operatorname{sgn} \tau)\tau\Big)\varphi' = e_{T_{\lambda(i)}}\varphi'$$

is alternating and symmetric. This would say that $e_{T_{\lambda(i)}}\varphi' = 0$, a contradiction. Hence $\lambda(i)_1 \leq 2m$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$.

Since $\lambda(i) \vdash 2mp_i$, this says that $\lambda(i)'_1 \geq p_i$, for all $i = 1, \dots, s$, where $\lambda(i)'_1$ is the length of the first column of $\lambda(i)$.

Next we claim that if r_i is the number of boxes out of the first p_i rows of $\lambda(i)$, then $r_1 + \cdots + r_s \leq l$ where $J^{l+1} = 0$.

In fact, suppose to the contrary that such number of boxes is at least $l + 1$. Since $FHe_{T_{\lambda(1)}} \cdots e_{T_{\lambda(s)}}$ is a minimal left ideal of FH, then

$$FH\bar{C}_{T_{\lambda(1)}} \cdots \bar{C}_{T_{\lambda(s)}} e_{T_{\lambda(1)}} \cdots e_{T_{\lambda(s)}} = FHe_{T_{\lambda(1)}} \cdots e_{T_{\lambda(s)}}$$

where $\bar{C}_{T_{\lambda(i)}} = \sum_{\sigma \in C_{T_{\lambda(i)}}} (\mathrm{sgn}\sigma)\sigma, i = 1, \dots, s$. We need to evaluate the polynomial $\tilde{\varphi'} = \bar{C}_{T_{\lambda(1)}} \cdots \bar{C}_{T_{\lambda(s)}} e_{T_{\lambda(1)}} \cdots e_{T_{\lambda(s)}}\varphi'$ on A.

For every $i = 1, \dots, s$, write the conjugate partition $\lambda(i)'$ of $\lambda(i)$ as

$$\lambda(i)' = (p_i + r_{i,1}, \dots, p_i + r_{i,u_i}, \lambda(i)'_{u_i+1}, \dots, \lambda(i)'_{m_i}).$$

Here $\sum_{j=1}^{u_i} r_{i,j} = r_i$ and $\lambda(i)'_{u_i+1}, \dots, \lambda(i)'_{m_i} \leq p_i$. Now, the polynomial $\tilde{\varphi'}$ is multialternating on u_i sets of variables of order $p_i + r_{i,1}, \dots, p_i + r_{i,u_i}$, respectively (corresponding to the first u_i columns of $\lambda(i)$). If we substitute on any of these sets of variables only elements from the semisimple subalgebra $C = C_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus C_k$, we would get zero since $\dim C_{g_i} = p_i$. It follows that we have to substitute into these sets of variables at least

$$r_{1,1} + \cdots + r_{1,u_1} + \cdots r_{s,1} + \ldots + r_{s,u_s} = r_1 + \cdots + r_s \geq l + 1$$

elements form the Jacobson radical J. Since $J^{l+1} = 0$, we would get that $\tilde{\varphi'}$ vanishes on A. Hence $FHe_{T_{\lambda(1)}} \cdots e_{T_{\lambda(s)}}\varphi' \subseteq Id^G(A)$ and this is a contradiction.

We have proved that $\lambda(1),\dots,\lambda(s)$ must contain at most a total number of l boxes out of the first $p_1,\dots,p_s$ rows, respectively. Since for every $i=1,\dots,s$, $\lambda(i)_1\le 2m$ the outcome is that each $\lambda(i)$ must contain the rectangle

$$\nu_i=(\underbrace{2m-l,\dots,2m-l}_{p_i})=((2m-l)^{p_i}).$$

Now, by [20], as $m\to\infty$,

$$\deg\chi_{\nu_i}\simeq a_i p_i^{(2m-l)p_i}((2m-l)p_i)^{b_i},$$

for some constants a_i, b_i. Hence, since l is a constant not depending on m, we obtain that

$$\deg\chi_{\nu_1}\cdots\deg\chi_{\nu_s}\simeq a((2m-l)p_1)^{b_1}\cdots((2m-l)p_s)^{b_s}p_1^{(2m-l)p_1}\cdots p_s^{(2m-l)p_s}\ge n^u p_1^{2mp_1}\cdots p_s^{2mp_s},$$

for some constants $u, a, b_1,\dots,b_s$. Now recall that for all i, $\lambda(i)\supseteq\nu_i$. Hence, since

$$\dim W_{\langle\lambda\rangle}=\deg\chi_{\lambda(1)}\cdots\deg\chi_{\lambda(s)}\ge\deg\chi_{\nu_1}\cdots\deg\chi_{\nu_s},$$

we obtain

$$c_{n_1,\dots,n_s}(A)\ge\dim W_{\langle\lambda\rangle}\ge n^u p_1^{2mp_1}\cdots p_s^{2mp_s}.$$

Recalling the relation between the G-codimensions $c_n^G(A)$ and $c_{n_1,\dots,n_s}(A)$ given in (2), we get

$$c_n^G(A)=\sum_{n_1+\cdots+n_s=n}\binom{n}{n_1,\dots,n_s}c_{n_1,\dots,n_s}(A)\ge\binom{n}{n_1,\dots,n_s}c_{n_1,\dots,n_s}$$
$$\ge n^u p_1^{2mp_1}\cdots p_s^{2mp_s}\frac{n!}{n_1!\cdots n_s!}.$$

Clearly since $n_1=2mp_1+k_1+t$ and $n_i=2mp_i+k_i$, $i=2,\dots,s$, we have that

$$\frac{n!}{n_1!\cdots n_s!}\ge\frac{(2mp_1+\cdots+2mp_s)!}{2mp_1!\cdots 2mp_s!}.$$

We now invoke Stirling formula asserting that (see [21])

$$n!=\sqrt{2\pi n}\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n e^{\frac{\theta_n}{12n}},$$

for some $0\le\theta_n\le 1$. We get

$$c_n^G(A)\ge n^\alpha\frac{(2mp_1+\cdots+2mp_s)^{2mp_1+\cdots+2mp_s}}{(2mp_1)^{2mp_1}\cdots(2mp_s)^{2mp_s}}p_1^{2mp_1}\cdots p_s^{2mp_s}$$
$$=n^\alpha(p_1+\cdots+p_s)^{2mp_1+\cdots+2mp_s}=an^\alpha(p_1+\cdots+p_s)^n=an^\alpha d^n,$$

where $a=(p_1+\cdots+p_s)^{-(k_1+\cdots+k_s+t)}$ and α is a constant. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Putting together Lemma 7 and Lemma 20 we get

Theorem 21. *Let F be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and G a finite abelian group. If A is a finite dimensional G-graded algebra, then there exist constants $C_1>0, C_2, k_1, k_2$ such that*

$$C_1 n^{k_1} d^n\le c_n^G(A)\le C_2 n^{k_2} d^n,$$

where d equals the dimension of some semisimple G-graded subalgebra of A. In particular $\exp^G(A)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\sqrt[n]{c_n^G(A)}=d$ exists and is a non-negative integer.

Recalling that by extending the ground field, the graded codimensions do not change we get the following

Corollary 22. *Let F be a field of characteristic zero and G a finite abelian group. For any finite dimensional G-graded algebra A, $\exp^G(A) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{c_n^G(A)}$ exists and is a non-negative integer.*

References

[1] E. Aljadeff and C. Kassel, *Polynomial identities and non commutative versal torsors*, Adv. Math. **218** (2008), no. 5, 1453–1495.

[2] E. Aljadeff, D. Haile and M. Natapov *Graded identities of matrix algebras and the universal graded algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.

[3] Y. A. Bahturin and V. Drensky, *Graded polynomial identities of matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **357** (2002), 15–34.

[4] Y. A. Bahturin, S. K. Sehgal and M. V. Zaicev, *Finite-dimensional simple graded algebras*, Sb. Math. **199** (2008), no. 7, 965-983

[5] Y. A. Bahturin and M. V. Zaicev, *Identities of graded algebras and codimension growth*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), no. 10, 3939–3950

[6] F. Benanti, A. Giambruno and M. Pipitone, *Polynomial identities on superalgebras and exponential growth*, J. Algebra **269** (2003), 422-438.

[7] A. Berele and J. Bergen, *P.I. algebras with Hopf algebra actions*, J. Algebra **214** (1999), no. 2, 636–651.

[8] A. Berele, *Cocharacter sequences for algebras with Hopf algebra actions*, J. Algebra **185** (1996), 869-885.

[9] K. S. Brown, Cohomology of Groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **87**, Springer-Verlag N.Y., 1982.

[10] E. Formanek, *A conjecture of Regev about the Capelli polynomial*, J. Algebra **109** (1987), 93-114.

[11] A. Giambruno, S. Mishchenko and M. Zaicev, *Group actions and asymptotic behavior of graded polynomial identities*, J. London Math. Soc. **66** (2002), 295-312.

[12] Giambruno A.; Mishchenko S.; Zaicev M., *Codimensions of algebras and growth functions*, Adv. Math. **217** (2008), 1027-1052.

[13] A. Giambruno and A. Regev, *Wreath products and P.I. algebras*, J. Pure Applied Algebra, **35** (1985), 133-149.

[14] A. Giambruno and M. Zaicev, *On codimension growth of finitely generated associative algebras*, Adv. Math. **140** (1998), 145-155.

[15] A. Giambruno and M. Zaicev, *Exponential codimension growth of P.I. algebras: an exact estimate*, Adv. Math. **142** (1999), 221-243.

[16] A. Giambruno and M. Zaicev, Polynomial Identities and Asymptotic Methods, AMS, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs Vol. **122**, Providence, R.I., 2005.

[17] G. James and A. Kerber, The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. **16**, Addison-Wesley, London, 1981.

[18] V. Petrogradsky, *On complexity functions for T-ideals of associative algebras*, Mat. Zametki **68** (2000), no. 6, 887-897; translation in Math. Notes **68** (2000), no. 5-6, 751-759.

[19] A. Regev, *Existence of identities in $A \otimes B$*, Israel J. Math. **11** (1972), 131-152.

[20] A. Regev, *Asymptotic values for degrees associated with stripes of Young diagrams*, Adv. in Math. **41** (1981), 115-136.

[21] H. Robbins, *A remark on Stirling's formula*, Amer. Math. Monthly **62** (1955), 26-29.

[22] M. Zaicev and S. Mishchenko, *An example of a variety of Lie algebras with a fractional exponent*, Algebra, 11. J. Math. Sci. (New York) **93** (1999), 977-982.

[23] M. Zaicev, *Integrality of exponents of growth of identities of finite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **66** (2002), 23-48; English translation: Izv. Math. **66** (2002), 463-487.

Department of Mathematics, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel
E-mail address: aljadeff@tx.technion.ac.il

Dipartimento di Matematica ed Applicazioni, Università di Palermo, Via Archirafi 34, 90123 Palermo, Italy
E-mail address: agiambr@unipa.it

Dipartimento di Matematica ed Applicazioni, Università di Palermo, Via Archirafi 34, 90123 Palermo, Italy
E-mail address: daniela@math.unipa.it